Factors associated with falls in hospitals: outcomes for nursing care
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Abstract

Aim: The aim of this research was to carry out an analysis of falls of hospitalized patients in 2017. They occurred at 16 selected wards in 4 hospitals in South Bohemia. The falls of hospitalized patients are the most frequent negative events in hospitals.

Materials and methods: The data regarding falls in hospitals were coded and databased by authorized employees in “Monitoring of the risk factors of falls and their analysis”. They were later statistically analysed using the SASD programme. A total of 280 falls were analysed.

Results: Most falls occurred at subsequent care wards - 48.9%. 44.3% of falls occurred at internal wards and 6.8% at surgical wards. Almost half (46.5%) concerned patients who had been hospitalized for 1 to 7 days. The average age of the patients who had fallen was 76.9 years. Most falls occurred in patients’ rooms - 78.0% and, in 93.3% of the cases, a medical worker was not present when the fall occurred. In the last 12 months, more than one third of patients have experienced a fall (39.8%). The riskiest period of the day was between 22:00 and 5:59 (35.8% of falls). Most frequently (31.6%), a patient fell off their bed. 41.8% of patients were not injured. The most frequent internal cause was imbalance or dizziness (57.1%) and 34.4 % suffered from confusion.

Conclusions: Considering the above-mentioned results, we recommend a change in the control system and interventions using IT technologies and systems.
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Introduction

Patients’ safety is a priority for medical workers (Godlock et al, 2016; Pokojová and Bártlová, 2018). The falls of hospitalized patients are one of the most frequent negative events in hospitals (Williams et al., 2014) and a common cause of aversive injuries. Events causing a serious injury of a hospitalized patient are considered unacceptable by some authors (Barker et al., 2016).

Approximately one third of the annual 700,000 to 1 million falls in USA hospitals could be prevented (Cameron et al., 2010), especially regarding elderly patients.

A fall is defined as a change of position that ends with the contact of the body with the ground; it can result in consciousness and injury (Topinková, 2005). A patient does not plan to drop (slip down) to the floor or a pad. It is a non-intentional act when a person is suddenly on the ground or a lower surface with a present witness, or they report the accident by themselves (there are no witnesses). We cannot consider an intentional movement a fall (Pokorná et al., 2017). A fall can cause light injuries as well as severe injuries and even death (Cox et al., 2015; Ganz et al., 2013). In a number of cases, falls repeat (Healey, 2016). A fall can also affect expected therapeutic procedures and prolong hospitalization (Dunne et al., 2014; Wong et al., 2011) or have a negative effect on patients’ future quality of life (Bradley et al., 2010). Regarding frail geriatric patients, falls can cause a decreased mobility and weaken their confidence and independence. There are a number of methods regarding the prevention of falls, which are used as part of individual or multi-factorial programmes (Ang et al., 2011; Cumming et al., 2008; Dykes et al., 2010), e.g. a standardized identification of risk patients immediately after admission to a hospital (Hefner et al., 2015), rescreening of such risk, education of patients (Lee et al., 2014) and their family members, as well as intervention using modern IT technologies and systems (Bayen et al., 2017; Cuttler et al., 2017; Votruba et al., 2016). It is also important to monitor the effectiveness of preventative programmes and register the results of other interventions (Horová et al., 2017). The causes of falls regarding hospitalized patients are multi-factorial (Bittencourt et al., 2017; Rheume and Pruh, 2015). Considering many factors which are involved in patients’ falls (Kang and Song, 2015; Oliver et al., 2000), the causes, i.e. risk factors, can be divided into two main groups – internal and external (Zhao and Kim, 2015). Internal factors include changes associ-
ated with physical or psychological impairments and changes due to the patient's age. 20–30% of falls are caused by external factors (Healey and Scobie, 2007) or factors which are not in the organism but include unknown environment, mechanical obstacles, footwear and clothes and pharmacotherapy (Chang et al., 2013; Lamis et al., 2012). The risk of falls is increased by the increased number of risk factors. It is important to assess every patient's risk factors (Katsulis et al., 2010; McKechnie et al., 2017) and use this information for prevention (Lang et al., 2014; Twibell et al., 2015). It is important to strengthen patients' active role in healthcare (Twibell et al., 2015; Tzeng and Yin, 2014). Nurses' knowledge and experience may be of great importance in decreasing the risks of falls (Barrett et al., 2017; King et al., 2018; Luzia et al., 2018). Teamwork, sharing and providing information on the risk factors of individual patients and good communication among medical workers is a necessary requirement. Shumba and Abraham (2017) emphasize regular training of employees and supervision. The goal of this research was to carry out the analysis of falls of hospitalized patients in 2017 at 16 selected wards in 4 hospitals in South Bohemia.

**Materials and methods**

We carried out the analysis of falls of hospitalized patients in 2017 at 16 selected wards and uploaded it to the interactive database of “Monitoring of fall risk factors and their analysis” (Pharma Portal EU). We monitored all falls at departments with the highest number of falls in every co-operating hospital. The purpose of this interactive database in the mentioned project is the monitoring of falls at 6 internal departments, 2 surgical departments, 5 departments of subsequent care, 1 rehabilitation department, 1 department of pulmonary diseases and 1 psychiatric department in 4 hospitals in South Bohemia, i.e. Hospital České Budějovice, a. s., Hospital Jindřichův Hradec, a. s., Hospital Tábor, a. s., and Hospital Písek, a. s. Authorized employees (nurses, doctors, administrative workers) uploaded the data on individual patients in the database. The patients experienced falls while they were hospitalized, i.e. between January and December of 2017. The workers were selected by their superiors in every hospital. 280 falls were analyzed. Hospital Tábor, a. s., had 24.8% of falls, Hospital České Budějovice, a. s., 35.1%, Hospital Jindřichův Hradec, a. s., had 14.2% and Hospital Písek, a. s., had 25.9% of falls. The interactive database is focused on the risk factors of falls and is one of the bases of the project carried out at the Faculty of Health and Social Sciences in České Budějovice, which co-operates with the Faculty of Pharmacy in Hradec Králové. The research protocol was revised due to the strict rules of GDPR and approved by the Ethical Committee of the Faculty of Pharmacy in Hradec Králové, the Ethical Committee of the Faculty of Health and Social Sciences and the managements of the involved hospitals.

The data the employees uploaded to the database were divided into the following sections: (1) risk factors of falls; (2) description of falls; (3) patient's personal anamnesis; (4) physical and laboratory examination; (5) medicines used by patient; (6) doctor's assessment of health condition; (7) pharmaceutical intervention; (8) pharmaceutical assessment; (9) doctor's feedback to pharmacist. We used the assessment scales for the screening of the risks of falls from the hospitals.

The data on the falls from each hospital were coded and uploaded to the database. We used the SASD programme to carry out their statistical analysis.

While analyzing the relationships, we applied (by the characters and the number of observations) the chi-squared test of goodness and the test of independence. We also calculated the Pearson's contingency coefficient, the standardized Pearson's contingency coefficient, the Cuprov coefficient, the Cramer coefficient, the Kruskal–Wallis coefficient, Spearman coefficient and correlation coefficient.

**Results**

**The basic characteristics of the patients who experienced falls**

The hospital departments were divided into three groups: internal departments (which included psychiatric and rehabilitation departments), surgical departments and the departments of subsequent care (Table 1). The patients were divided into 5 groups by age (20–60, 61–70, 71–80, 81–90 years and 91 and older) (Table 1). Their average age was 76.9 years. We identified a statistically significant relationship between gender and age. The sample group included more women between 81 and 90 years, and men were between 20 and 60 years old (p < 0.01). Almost one half of falls (46.5%) concerned patients who had been hospitalized for 1 to 7 days, and 35.8% were patients who had been hospitalized for 8 to 30 days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 – Basic characteristics of patients who experienced falls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N = 250 Relative number in %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls occurring in the last 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>more than one fall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>one fall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of fall during admission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>existing risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of independence according to the Barthel test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high dependence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>third-degree dependence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>light dependence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>independent patients</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The assessment of independence, according to the Barthel test carried out during admission to the department where a fall occurred, is shown in Table 1. Other indicators of the level of patients’ independence and the level of nursing care are presented by the legally established categories of patients. We learned that 7.1% of patients from our sample group had been
included in the 1st category on the day a fall occurred. They were self-sufficient and independent of the basic nursing care. 22.3% were included in the 2nd category. These patients are usually partially self-sufficient, able to take care of themselves with assistance and capable of movement with assistance or by themselves using a wheelchair. 54.6% were included in the 3rd category. 16.0% were included in the 4th category. They were immobile, non-self-sufficient or lucid, totally immobile or incontinent and required nursing assistance with the most common tasks.

The mobility of patients before falls
Out of the total 280 cases of falls, 23.4% occurred in patients who could walk without assistance, 26.6% used an aid or a wheelchair to move, 21.3% needed assistance, 24.5% were partially mobile (they could sit and move on their bed but could not walk) and 4.2% were totally immobile. 46.5% wore glasses or had eyeglasses, 3.5% had a hearing aid, 14.5% needed crutches (2.5% obtained them when they were hospitalized), 2.7% needed a walking stick, 18.1% needed a walking aid (13.8% obtained it in hospital), 2.5% used a wheelchair and 1.8% had a pacemaker. Patients at internal departments had crutches more frequently than patients at other departments \((p < 0.05)\). Patients at the departments of subsequent care used a walking aid more frequently than patients at other departments \((p < 0.001)\) and obtained it during hospitalization more frequently than patients at other departments \((p < 0.05)\).

The psychological condition of patients before falls
50.7% of the patients in our sample group showed no psychological or psychiatric symptoms, 34.4% were confused, 14.5% were restless, 2.1% suffered from depression, 20.0% suffered from dementia and 6.4% suffered from anxiety. The research proved a statistically significant relationship between gender and dementia before the fall. We recorded a higher number of women suffering from dementia before the fall \((p < 0.05)\). The research also proved a statistically significant relationship between age and the absence of psychological and psychiatric symptoms before the fall. Psychological and psychiatric symptoms before the fall most frequently occur at the age between 61 and 80 years \((p < 0.05)\). The research showed a statistically significant relationship between age and restlessness before the fall. At the age between 20 and 60 years, restlessness before the fall occurred more frequently than at other age groups \((p < 0.01)\).

Other risk factors
Other risk factors include problems with food or liquid intake (12.1% of patients in our sample group), IV therapy (24.5%), pain (19.9%), neurological diseases (13.8%), severe joint diseases causing restricted mobility (4.6%), post-surgery condition (6.7%), incontinence (28.7%), fear of falling (13.1%), rehabilitation (25.9%) or the use of a compensation aid (12.8%). Sensory impairments are another risk factor. 6.7% had orthopaedic problems, 31.9% had problems with vision and 9.9% of patients had hearing problems.

Details of falls: time, place and the activity during a fall
The time of fall, place and the activity during a fall are shown in Table 2. We tried to learn whether there was a relationship between the department type and the time of a fall. Regarding this sample group of patients, we did not identify a statistically significant relationship between the type of department and the time of falls. The time of falls at the individual depart-
ments was not statistically significantly different. We did not identify a statistically significant relationship between the time of falls and the activities during falls. We did not identify a statistically significant relationship between the time of falls and the place of falls.

![Table 2. Details of falls (time, place, the activity during a fall)](attachment)

We identified a statistically significant relationship between the place and activity during falls \((p < 0.001)\). In the rooms, falls from beds and during transports are significantly more frequent. In the corridors, the falls are significantly more frequent when patients walk without assistance or with a compensation aid (walking aid, walking stick, crutches).

The causes of falls are multi-factorial. These factors may be combined. The environment can play its role as well.

The mechanism of falls: internal and external causes
Internal causes included loss of consciousness (1.8% of cases), insufficient balance or dizziness (57.1%), seizure illnesses (1.1%), dehydration (0.7%), alcohol (0.4%), vomiting in the last 24 hours (0.4%) and other internal causes (7.1%). No causes were found in 20.2% of cases and unknown causes were detected in 11.2% of cases.

External causes included stumbling/misstepping (9.6% of cases), slipping (12.4%), leaning on an unstable support (7.1%), getting up from bed (26.6%) and other external mechanisms (10.3%). No causes were found in 17.0% of cases, and unknown causes were detected in 17.0% of cases.

4.3% of falls were caused by slippery/wet floors, unsuitable footwear/socks. 11.3% of patients were barefoot. The environment of the facilities was not important in 67.2% of cases.

Preventative interventions regarding falls
Preventative interventions regarding falls (other than a bell) included a convertible bed in 78.4% of cases, brackets were used in 63.5% of patients, 18.8% were recommended to repose in bed and 2.1% needed an elevated toilet seat.
It was statistically confirmed that brackets were used significantly more regarding confused patients \( (p < 0.001) \), restless patients \( (p < 0.05) \) and patients suffering from dementia \( (p < 0.01) \). We did not identify a statistically significant relationship between the use of brackets and the time of falls and the consequences of falls (Table 3).

### Table 3. The relationship between the use of brackets and the mental condition before falls, consequences and the time of falls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( N )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>confusion</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restlessness</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dementia</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>consequence of the fall</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>time of the fall</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \chi^2 = \) chi-square; \( p = \) test of independence; \( df = \) degree of freedom; n. s. = statistically insignificant difference.

* Statistically significant difference for the level of significance \( (\alpha = 0.05) \).
** Statistically significant difference for the level of significance \( (\alpha = 0.01) \).
*** Statistically significant difference for the level of significance \( (\alpha = 0.001) \).

### The consequences of falls, nursing care after falls, examinations and the influence on hospitalization

41.8% of falls were without injuries/sequences, 39.8% ended in light injuries which did not require medical treatment (superficial scratches, bruises) and 18.4% ended in mild injuries which required medical treatment (fractures, unconsciousness, concussions/CNS disruptions, CNS contusions). There were no severe injuries ending with the risk of permanent consequences, life-threatening injuries or death.

93.3% of falls occurred in the absence of medical workers and only 6.4% occurred in their presence.

No treatment was necessary in 43.3% of cases, treatment by a nurse was required in 36.9% of cases, treatment by a doctor (stitches, fixation) was required in 22.7%, surgery was required in 0.7%, and other types of treatment were required in 1.8% of cases. The treatments could be combined. In our sample group, 29.8% of patients did not require any special examinations, consultation was carried out in 30.5% of cases, an x-ray was carried out in 40.4%, and computer tomography or magnetic resonance etc. were carried out in 14.9%. The examinations could be combined.

86.2% of patients’ hospitalization was not prolonged. In 8.9% of cases, falls were the reason for prolonging the hospitalization and, in 3.2% falls were the reason for transfer to a different department. In 1.7% of cases, falls had a different influence on hospitalization, e.g. the change of the conditions of hospitalization (2012) claim that patients aged 85 years and older belong to the group with the highest risk of falls and injuries. Abreu et al. (2012) wanted to assess the prevalence of falls, understand their consequences, identify their causes and analyze intervention strategies for the decrease in their occurrence. It was a descriptive longitudinal study that was carried out between 2007 and 2009. Most falls occurred to partially dependent patients at the age between 64 and 74 years. Most falls occurred in patients’ rooms and 36% of them had consequences. Our results correspond with the above-mentioned study of Abreu et al. (2012); we learned that most falls occurred in patients’ rooms (78.0%) and 93.3% of falls were in the absence of medical workers. Schwendimann et al. (2008) also focused on the monitoring of the occurrence of falls, their circumstances and consequences during hospitalization. The monitoring was carried out at clinical internal departments, the departments of geriatrics and surgery in Switzerland during a five-year period. Patients who had fallen were at an average age between 79.8 ± 12.2 years. 57.2% were women. Older adults are at a higher risk of falls and are very vulnerable due to the increased morbidity and mortality (Clyburn and Heydemann, 2011; Oliver et al., 2010).

Our research identified a statistically significant relationship between gender and age. Our sample group of patients who had fallen included more women between 81 and 90 years. Men were at the age between 20 and 60 years. According to the Barthel test, which was completed during patient admission to the department where a fall occurred, 36.2% of the total 280 patients were highly dependent, and a medium stage of dependence was found in 25.5%. According to the categories, 54.6% of patients were included in the 3rd category (patients who need greater supervision, or lucid patients incapable of moving out of bed even with assistance or by themselves on a wheelchair; patients who need almost full assistance or mentally altered patients who require personal supervision, restriction of movement or pharmacological sedation. Brackets were used for the prevention of falls of more than half of the patients who fell during hospitalization. They were used more when the patients were restless and suffered from dementia. It is clear that the use of brackets must be complemented with other interventions using modern technologies, such as monitoring techniques or sensory pads.

### Discussion

Most falls occurred at the departments of subsequent care (48.9%). 44.3% occurred at internal departments. However, the lowest number of falls occurred at surgical departments (6.8%). Most falls occurred in patients’ rooms (78.0%). The riskiest part of the day was between 22:00 and 5:59 (35.8%). Most falls occurred in patients’ rooms (78.0%) and 93.3% of falls were in the absence of medical workers. Schwendimann et al. (2008) also focused on the monitoring of the occurrence of falls, their circumstances and consequences during hospitalization. The monitoring was carried out at clinical internal departments, the departments of geriatrics and surgery in Switzerland during a five-year period. Patients who had fallen were at an average age between 79.8 ± 12.2 years. 57.2% were women. Older adults are at a higher risk of falls and are very vulnerable due to the increased morbidity and mortality (Clyburn and Heydemann, 2011; Oliver et al., 2010).

Our research identified a statistically significant relationship between gender and age. Our sample group of patients who had fallen included more women between 81 and 90 years. Men were at the age between 20 and 60 years. According to the Barthel test, which was completed during patient admission to the department where a fall occurred, 36.2% of the total 280 patients were highly dependent, and a medium stage of dependence was found in 25.5%. According to the categories, 54.6% of patients were included in the 3rd category (patients who need greater supervision, or lucid patients incapable of moving out of bed even with assistance or by themselves on a wheelchair; patients who need almost full assistance or mentally altered patients who require personal supervision, restriction of movement or pharmacological sedation. Brackets were used for the prevention of falls of more than half of the patients who fell during hospitalization. They were used more when the patients were restless and suffered from dementia. It is clear that the use of brackets must be complemented with other interventions using modern technologies, such as monitoring techniques or sensory pads.
A fall in a patient’s medical history is one of the significant risk factors (Bórková et al., 2018; Tinetti and Kumar, 2010). The medical history in the last 12 months of 39.8% of the patients in our sample group included a fall. The risk of a fall during admission was established in 77.7% of patients. We agree with the recommendation of Hitcho et al. (2004) to focus on preventative strategies specifically regarding this group of patients. Nurses must correctly identify the most vulnerable patients in the hospital and develop complex interventions to decrease the number of internal, external and environmental risk factors (Zhao and Kim, 2015).

Williams et al. (2014) carried out the analysis of 25 000 reports on patient falls from 76 facilities which were uploaded to the UHC (University Health System ConSortium) system of incident reporting regarding patients with safety risks. Common factors of falls that resulted in severe injuries or death included patients older than 80 years, altered mental state, going to the toilet and pharmacotherapy with diuretics and anticoagulants. Tzeng (2010) confirms that patients suffering from a mental condition tend to have more severe injuries during falls than those who have no mental problems. Regarding geriatric patients, delirium, the history of falls and older age are the main risk factors in hospital preventative programmes regarding falls (Mazur et al., 2016). According to Härlein et al. (2011), elderly people with cognitive impairments are at three times higher risk of falls during hospitalization compared to those who have no cognitive impairments. Our results correspond with this conclusion; 34.4% of patients were confused and 20.0% suffered from dementia.

46.5% of falls were related to patients who were hospitalized between 1 and 7 days. The hospital environment is one of the external risk factors, especially for elderly patients (Cumbl er and Likosky, 2011). A positive finding is that, according to the doctors’ and nurses’ assessments at selected departments, the influence of the environment was not important in 67.2% of cases. The start of rehabilitation and using new compensation aids can be very risky (Cumming et al., 2008). 25.9% of patients at the selected departments started rehabilitation and 12.8% began using new compensation aids.

Problems with evacuation or incontinence are also risk factors (Hitcho et al., 2004). 28.7% of the patients in our sample group were incontinent. Another risk factor is problems with food or liquid intake (Vivanti et al., 2011). 12.1% of patients in our sample group had these problems.

41.8% of cases in our sample group were without injuries or other consequences, 39.8% caused light injuries, 18.4% caused mild injuries where a doctor’s treatment was necessary (fractures, unconsciousness, CNS disruptions or CNS contusions). There were no severe injuries ending with the risk of permanent consequences, life-threatening injuries or death. Almost half of the patients underwent an x-ray examination. In 8.9% of the cases, a fall was the reason for prolonging the patient’s hospitalization and, in 3.2%, a fall was the reason for transfer to another department. Jorgensen et al. (2015) carried out a study in Danish hospitals between 2000 and 2012. They focused on severe injuries in patients over 65 years during hospitalization. They learned that patients who suffered from dementia, osteoporosis, a stroke, depression, Parkinson’s disease and chronic obstructive lung disease were at a higher risk of severe injuries during a fall and recommended a greater attention of medical workers for the decrease of their incidence. The articles by the team members of our project will deal with the relationships between the personal anamneses of patients who have experienced a fall and also a potential influence of pharmacotherapy.

Conclusions

Regarding the prevention of falls, a multilateral approach and the active involvement of experts from various disciplines appear to be effective. A quality organizational culture and teamwork and communication are necessary to achieve such prevention co-ordination. Considering the fact that most falls occurred at night in the absence of medical workers, we recommend changes in the control system and interventions using modern technologies and systems, such as monitoring techniques or sensory pads. It is important to consider individual risk factors of hospitalized patients when applying preventative measures. The knowledge and the experience of nursing personnel are crucial for their establishment. In January 2018, the monitored departments began an intervention programme whose goal was to decrease the occurrence of falls. The departments also bought modern compensation aids used for the prevention of falls. In the future, we intend to focus on the comparison of patients who have not experienced a fall, and to determine specific independent risk factors regarding patients hospitalized at selected departments in the selected hospitals in South Bohemia involved in this project.

Research limitations

We analyzed falls in 4 hospitals in South Bohemia at 16 selected departments with the highest occurrence of falls in the previous years.
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**Faktory spojené s pády v nemocničním prostředí: důsledky pro ošetřovatelskou péči**

**Souhrn**
Cílem výzkumu bylo provést analýzu pádů hospitalizovaných pacientů za rok 2017, ke kterým došlo na 16 vybraných odděleních ve 4 nemocnicích Jihočeského kraje. Pády hospitalizovaných pacientů patří k nejčastějším nežádoucím událostem, ke kterým dochází v nemocničním prostředí.

**Metodika:** Data o pádech z jednotlivých nemocnic byla pověřenými pracovníky kódována a vkládána do databáze „Monitoring rizikových faktorů pádů a jejich analýza“, následně byla provedena jejich statistická analýza za pomoci statistického programu SAS. Bylo analyzovalo celkem 280 pádů hospitalizovaných pacientů.

**Výsledky:** Největší počet pádů se odehrálo na odděleních chirurgického náplastí – 48.9 % pádů, na interních odděleních se odehrálo 44.3 % pádů, nejméně pak na chirurgických odděleních – 6.8 % pádů. Téměř polovina (46.5 %) pádů se týkala pacientů s náplastí, v 93.3 % se jednalo o pády pacientů bez přítomnosti zdravotníků. Pád v anamnéze v posledních 12 měsících měla více než třetina (39.8 %) pacientů. Nejčastěji se jednalo o pády pacientů z lůžka – 31.6 %. Bez poranění/následků se obešla téměř polovina pádů – 41.8 %. Jako nejčastější vnitřní příčina, než třetina (39.8 %) pacientů. Nejrozšířenější bylo časové období mezi 22:00 až 5:59 hodinou (35.8 % pádů). Nejčastěji se jednalo tů – 78.0 %, v 93.3 % se jednalo o pády pacientů bez přítomnosti zdravotníků. Pád v anamnéze v posledních 12 měsících měla více než třetina (39.8 %) pacientů.

**Závěr:** Vzhledem k výše zmíněným výsledkům doporučujeme změnu v systému ošetřujícího personálu a intervence s využitím moderních informačních technologií a systémů.

**Klíčová slova:** Analýza pádů; Česká republika; Hospitalizovaní pacienti; Ošetřovatelská péče; Rizikové faktory
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